

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Because of the applicant's amendment, the original objections to claims 11-13, in the office action filed December 18, 2009, are hereby withdrawn.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it **MUST** be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Attorney Steven Koehler on June 8, 2010.

The application has been amended as follows:

The specification on Page 9 Line 30, "Figure 4 shows, diagrammatically, a foldable bag in combination with a container ..." has been amended to read , "*Figures 4a and 4b show, diagrammatically, a foldable bag in combination with a container ...*"

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-7 and 10-20 are allowed as amended by the applicant. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance.

Regarding Amended Claims 1 and 18, the prior art discloses most of the claimed invention; however, the prior art does not expressly disclose the first and the second end edges of the first and second sheets being divided into three sections.

Regarding Amended Claim 14, the prior art discloses most of the claimed invention; however, the prior art does not expressly disclose the connections stubs being secured to the walled enclosure utilizing flogs that are placed around the connection stubs from an outer wall of the wall enclosure.

The closest prior art to the claimed invention are the following: Olson (6,032,818), Aoyama (GB 2,117,736), and Vallot (5,988,422).

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks Page 13 Lines 1-8, filed March 18, 2010, with respect to the §103(a) rejections of claims 1 and 18 as obvious over Olson in view of Aoyama have been fully considered and are persuasive. The §103(a) rejections of claims 1 and 18 have been withdrawn.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: see PTO-892 Notice of References Cited.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT J. HICKS whose telephone number is (571)270-1893. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM, EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571) 272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert J Hicks/
Examiner, Art Unit 3781

/Anthony Stashick/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 3781